

Functional Styles in Contemporary English: Their Characteristics, Communicative Functions, and Adaptation in Digital Discourse

Gunay Baghirova

Azerbaijan University of Languages, Azerbaijan

ORCID:0009-0003-8194-0255

Abstract: this article examines the principal characteristics of functional styles in contemporary English and explores the ways in which these styles operate within real communicative practice. The study draws on and compares a range of linguistic approaches, with particular attention to scholarly views on the classification of functional styles. Each of the major styles-scientific, official, journalistic, colloquial and literary – is analyzed separately in terms of its structural and semantic features. The investigation identifies the key expressive means, communicative purposes, and usage contexts that distinguish these styles, while also outlining the points at which they intersect or diverge. Special consideration is given to the stability of stylistic boundaries and to the extent of stylistic convergence observed under modern communicative conditions. The findings indicate that the system of functional styles in English maintains its traditional norms and principles, yet simultaneously undergoes certain shifts shaped by contemporary communication practices. Overall, the study demonstrates the significant role that functional styles play both in the evolution of the language and in ensuring effective communication across diverse social and professional domains.

Keywords: functional styles; scientific style; official style; journalistic style; literary style; communicative functions

1. Introduction

The emergence of stylistics as an independent field of inquiry in modern linguistics is by no means accidental. As the need to understand how language adapts to different communicative situations has grown, issues of style have come to occupy a central place in linguistic research. English provides particularly rich material for such observations: it functions not only as a global means of communication but also acquires distinct stylistic forms across diverse social and professional domains. Consequently, stylistic choices in English – whether lexical, syntactic, or communicative – tend to vary noticeably in accordance with the nature of the situational context. It is worth noting that questions of style have attracted attention since antiquity. Aristotle is regarded as the scholar who laid the foundations of stylistic theory and metaphor studies, first conceptualizing metaphor as a semantic substitution involving deviation from ordinary usage [1, p. 60]. This rhetorical framework subsequently expanded into a comprehensive taxonomy of tropes and schemes, which sought to distinguish between shifts in lexical meaning and departures from conventional syntactic structures [2, p. 132].

Stylistics, as a field of study, does not concern itself solely with the aesthetic and expressive potential of language; it also addresses a range of practical functions such as conveying information, shaping attitudes, and regulating interaction. In English, the scientific, official, journalistic, colloquial, and literary styles respond to different communicative needs and are characterized by their own distinctive expressive patterns. The contrasts between these styles may surface at the lexical level, in syntactic organization, or in the communicative intention that underlies a given utterance.

In recent decades, rapid technological change and the growing intensity of information exchange have made the boundaries between functional styles far less rigid than they once were. Digital media,

social platforms, and new patterns of international communication facilitate more frequent transitions between styles and contribute to the softening of several traditional distinctions. These developments render the notion of style increasingly dynamic while also expanding the practical scope of stylistic analysis. As a result, researchers today are encouraged not only to examine the structural features of language but also to observe how these features operate in authentic communicative settings.

The present article offers a general overview of the stylistic system of contemporary English and discusses the defining features of the major functional styles. Its primary aim is to clarify the role each style plays within the broader communicative process. At the same time, particular attention is given to the interplay between styles, the fluidity of stylistic boundaries, and the influence of modern communication environments on linguistic behaviour.

2. Object and subject of research

The object of this research is the system of functional styles in contemporary English as an essential component of language communication, while the subject of the research is the distinctive characteristics, communicative functions, structural and semantic features of scientific, official, journalistic, colloquial, and literary styles, as well as their adaptation, interaction, and transformation within digital discourse and modern communication environments.

3. Target of research

The aim of this research is to examine the main functional styles of contemporary English, identify their characteristic linguistic and communicative features, analyze their roles in different spheres of communication, and investigate the ways in which these styles adapt, interact, and evolve within the context of digital discourse and modern communication practices.

4. Literature analysis

The study of functional styles has long occupied a significant position within linguistic and stylistic research. The theoretical foundations of stylistics were established through the development of functional approaches to language, which emphasized the relationship between linguistic choices and communicative purposes. M.A.K. Halliday and C.M. Matthiessen argue that language functions as a social semiotic system in which linguistic forms are shaped by contextual and communicative needs. Their functional grammar provides an important framework for understanding how different functional styles emerge and operate within specific communicative situations [3].

Contemporary scholars continue to highlight the central role of style in language use. P. Butler et al. emphasize that style is not merely an aesthetic phenomenon but a fundamental component of communication that influences meaning construction and audience perception [1]. Similarly, E. Semino describes stylistics as an interdisciplinary field that examines how linguistic patterns contribute to communicative effectiveness across different genres and contexts [4]. The historical development of stylistics and its theoretical foundations are further explored by M. Aliyeva, who traces the evolution of stylistic thought from classical rhetoric to modern linguistic approaches [5]. The growing relevance of stylistics in contemporary linguistic studies is also emphasized by D. Tukhtamurodova, who argues that stylistic analysis remains essential for understanding language variation and communicative behavior [6].

Recent research demonstrates that stylistics has entered a new stage of development influenced by digital communication and multimodal discourse. H. Ahmad and N. Ghafar describe this transformation as a shift from traditional rhetorical analysis to critical digital semiotics, where communication increasingly integrates verbal, visual, and technological elements [7]. K. Habibova's study of virtual discourse, which identifies online communication as a distinctive communicative environment characterized by stylistic hybridity and the interaction of multiple functional styles [8].

The classification and characteristics of functional styles remain one of the key topics in stylistic research. S.G'ofurova and N.Kamalxo'jayeveva identify scientific, official, journalistic, literary, and colloquial styles as the principal functional varieties of English [9], each distinguished by specific linguistic features and communicative objectives. Scientific style is characterized by precision, objectivity, and logical organization, while official style emphasizes standardization, clarity, and legal accuracy. Journalistic style combines informational and persuasive functions, literary style prioritizes aesthetic and expressive effects, and colloquial style reflects spontaneity and interpersonal communication.

Several studies have examined individual functional styles in greater detail. R.Doerr investigates the characteristics of academic style and emphasizes its role in the production and dissemination of specialized knowledge [10]. F.Karimova analyzes economic texts and demonstrates the importance of linguistic precision and terminological consistency within professional discourse [11]. M.Horvath and T.Kots focus on journalistic and publicistic communication, highlighting the growing role of emotional and persuasive mechanisms in contemporary media texts [12; 13]. In the sphere of literary style, M.Giovanelli and C.Harrison [14], D.Civelek [15], and C.Warner [16] explore the stylistic features of contemporary fiction and literary discourse, emphasizing the interaction between linguistic form, interpretation, and reader response.

The study of colloquial style has also attracted considerable scholarly attention. M.Li examines colloquial language as a defining feature of modern prose [17, p. 84], while M.Tsurkan investigates the stylistic functions of colloquial vocabulary in literary narratives [18]. Phonetic and communicative aspects of spoken discourse are discussed by K.Kenzhigozhina et al., who identify key characteristics of spontaneous speech and conversational interaction [19]. These studies collectively demonstrate that colloquial style serves as one of the most dynamic and adaptable forms of communication.

The influence of digital technologies on language and style has become increasingly evident in recent years. K.Habibova analyzes metaphorical constructions in techno-modern texts and demonstrates how technological discourse contributes to the emergence of new stylistic patterns [2]. The interaction between academic communication and speech manipulation is explored by N.Mammadli and K.Habibova, who reveal how communicative strategies influence audience perception in professional contexts [19]. Furthermore, K.Habibova examines manipulative elements in advertising discourse, illustrating how stylistic devices are employed to shape consumer behavior [20]. These studies confirm that contemporary digital communication encourages the convergence of traditional functional styles and the development of hybrid communicative forms.

Overall, the reviewed literature indicates that functional styles remain an essential component of the English language system. While traditional stylistic classifications continue to provide a valuable framework for linguistic analysis, modern communication technologies and digital discourse have significantly transformed the ways in which styles are used and combined. Consequently, the study of functional styles in contemporary English requires consideration of both established linguistic traditions and the emerging communicative realities of the digital age.

5. Research methods and materials

The study of functional styles in English requires the use of several complementary methods. The first stage involves the collection and examination of textual material representing different stylistic domains. At this stage, the analysis focuses on how lexical, grammatical, and stylistic features are realized in the selected sources. Comparing the structural organization of these texts makes it possible to identify functional contrasts between the styles with greater precision. A key component of the research is semantic analysis, which helps determine the major meaning-bearing elements of each style and how these elements correlate with communicative intent. Alongside this, contextual analysis is employed to clarify the roles specific linguistic units play in real

communicative situations and to observe under which circumstances transitions from one style to another may occur.

Among the guiding principles of the study, the contextual approach plays a central role. The analysis of styles is grounded not only in formal linguistic units but also in the social, communicative and cultural settings in which they function. The functional purpose of each style, the degree of idiomaticity, pragmatic load, and communicative intentionality are all taken into account. The comparative principle further broadens the scope of the investigation, enabling a more comprehensive evaluation of the stylistic system of English and contributing to a more substantiated theoretical classification of functional styles.

6. Research results

6.1. The Concept of Stylistics and Its Place in Linguistic Studies

Stylistics is regarded as one of the most multifaceted branches of linguistics, as it seeks to explain how and why particular linguistic units are selected in different communicative situations. For a long time, classical linguistics focused primarily on the structural layers of language – phonetics, vocabulary, and grammar. However, from the mid-twentieth century onward, growing interest in the functional and communicative dimensions of language stimulated the development of stylistics as an independent field of research. This paradigm shift facilitated a transition from purely normative assessments of eloquence to empirical investigations into form-function relationships within discourse [7].

To begin with, it is useful to consider the notion of *style* itself. Etymologically derived from the Latin *stilus*, the term has evolved from a concrete reference to a writing instrument into a complex, multifaceted concept encompassing both the author's idiosyncratic linguistic choices and the functional properties of discourse [5]. This conceptual transition reflects the broader evolution of the discipline, which has increasingly integrated formalist and functional perspectives to analyze how linguistic variations shape reader perception across both literary and non-literary domains [6]. She also emphasizes the inherent conceptual challenges associated with the very notion of "style." Several classifications of functional styles have been proposed by linguists, each reflecting a particular theoretical perspective.

This evolution is further underscored by the field's current position at the intersection of literary criticism and linguistic analysis, where it leverages diverse frameworks like pragmatics to decode the meaning potential within contextualized discourse [4]. By categorizing linguistic expression according to these social domains, this framework emphasizes how context-dependent norms dictate the selection of specific lexical and syntactic features [14].

This researchers offer a similar yet more detailed classification. He identifies the following functional styles: the belles-lettres style; the publicistic style; the newspaper style; the style of official documents (or the official-business style); and the style of scientific prose, commonly referred to simply as the scientific style. According to K.Habibova, each style serves a particular communicative purpose, and this purpose determines its linguistic features and typical forms of realization [8].

Although linguists offer different explanations regarding the essence of stylistics, a common point may be observed in many of the proposed views: style is a means that allows us to understand the expressive potential of language in relation to context. For example, the use of "defamiliarization" or "foregrounding" illustrates how writers manipulate conventional linguistic norms to heighten the aesthetic impact of a text, thereby shifting the reader's perception of the familiar into the unusual [10, p. 84]. This transition toward scientific inquiry gained significant momentum during the twentieth century, particularly through the influence of the Prague School and Russian Formalism, which advocated for rigorous, objective descriptions of these aesthetic effects [15, p. 101]. Such analytical rigor allows contemporary stylisticians to transition from mere linguistic description to evaluating the interpretative consequences of these deviations, thereby bridging the

gap between subjective literary criticism and objective linguistic data [21, p. 328]. These researchers demonstrate that scientific, publicistic and official discourse can also be analysed systematically from a stylistic perspective. One of the key factors that determines the place of stylistics within linguistics is its interaction with other areas of study. Its connection with lexicology concerns the selection of semantic shades; with grammar, the functional interpretation of syntactic constructions; and with pragmatics, the understanding of communicative intention and mechanisms of influence. These links expand the scope of stylistics and make it a broader field within linguistic research. One of the main reasons stylistics holds a significant place in linguistics is its ability to explain the mechanisms through which functional styles are formed. Language performs different functions across various spheres of social activity, and corresponding styles emerge in line with these functions. Consequently, modern research increasingly adopts an integrated pragma-stylistic approach, which examines how pragmatic factors like speech acts and contextual meaning interact with these established linguistic structures to shape communicative outcomes [22, p. 78; 23]. This evolution toward a communicative discipline further refines the understanding of text categories and the specificity of their implementation, such as the role of modality in regulating subjective influence within distinct discourse environments [11, p. 2003]. Furthermore, this interdisciplinary trajectory highlights that literary language serves as a form of symbolic social action, where the speaker's intentions and the reader's frames of relevance become central to stylistic inquiry [16].

The stylistics of the English language provides both theoretical and practical insights by clarifying how this global means of communication operates across different communicative environments. Studying functional styles on a scientific basis is considered one of the essential conditions for gaining a deeper understanding of the language system. It should be noted that functional styles constitute one of the primary objects of stylistic research and are viewed as forms of the language adapted to various communicative purposes. A functional style is a subsystem characterized by specific lexical, grammatical, and phonetic features that operate within a particular sphere of communication. The conditions under which language is used, the addressee of the speech act, and the speaker's intention directly influence the formation of these styles. In other words, style is not determined solely by the selection of linguistic units; it is equally shaped by the context of their use and the communicative purpose they serve. This perspective is also strongly emphasized in the functional linguistic model developed by M. A. K. Halliday and C. M. Matthiessen [3, p. 7]. Functional styles are subdivided into substyles, and these substyles, in turn, encompass various genres. For example, within the official–business style it is possible to distinguish a legal substyle, whose genres include court rulings, indictments, directives, statements of obligation, protocols, and similar document types. The main features that characterize each functional style are the linguistic means employed, the communicative purpose it serves, and the forms through which this purpose is realized. After reviewing a range of scholarly sources, we may conclude that numerous definitions of the term *style* exist in the literature. A functional style is understood as a form of literary language that fulfils a specific communicative function in discourse [19, p. 30].

V. V. Vinogradov, who emphasized the analysis of linguistic properties through their systemic role in different fields of communication. Vinogradov's framework specifically explores the evolution of these styles by examining how language functions are activated and distributed across disparate registers, ranging from colloquial usage to formalized scientific discourse [24].

Although researchers offer different classifications, the most widely accepted approach highlights five major functional styles: scientific, official, journalistic, colloquial, and literary. Each of these styles responds to distinct social and communicative needs of the language and displays its own characteristic features. In the study by S. M. G'ofurova and N. Kamalxo'jayeve, this five-part classification is also presented as the fundamental structural framework of functional styles [9, p. 242]. When characterizing any functional style, it is essential to consider only those features that distinguish it from other styles and that uniquely define its communicative function.

6.2. The Scientific Style

The scientific style in English is generally regarded as one of the functional styles most strongly grounded in objectivity. Its primary aim is to present information in a precise, consistent, and replicable manner. Such presentation enables the reader to interpret research findings accurately and supports the overall reliability of scientific discourse [1, p. 242]. This style is characterized by abstraction, generalization, logical sequencing of ideas, informativeness, and an explanatory mode that prioritizes neutrality and factual accuracy. Texts written in the scientific style typically rely on specialized vocabulary and domain-specific terminology. For instance, *cost*, *stock exchange*, and *computer-aided design system* reflect the terminological precision expected in scientific writing. Since the principal aim of a scientific text is to convey accurate information, lexical items tend to be used either in their direct denotative meaning or within their established terminological sense. In addition, elements of the so-called “bookish” vocabulary are also frequently encountered. Recent developments indicate that academic English has undergone certain stylistic shifts. In particular, in multi-author publications, papers aimed at broader readerships, and highly competitive academic environments, reliance on passive constructions has somewhat weakened compared with earlier periods. A number of contemporary journals encourage clearer authorial presence and therefore promote the use of explicit self-reference, such as *we argue* or *we observed*, where appropriate [19, p. 31].

From a syntactic perspective, scientific writing typically favors complex sentences with subordinate clauses, and this tendency remains stable across most academic genres. Simple sentences occur less frequently and, when they do appear, they are often accompanied by additional modifying elements. Nevertheless, concise simple sentences may also be used when the primary idea needs to be communicated in a clear and direct manner. For example: *This is the analogue of memory* or *They lend themselves very well to description in physiological terms*.

Certain archaic connective adverbs are still occasionally encountered in scientific prose, such as *thereby*, *therewith*, and *hereby*. In addition, paired conjunctions – including *not merely... but also*, *whether... or*, *both... and*, and *as... as...* – are frequently employed to indicate logical relations with greater precision. The preferred word order in scientific texts generally follows a straightforward linear arrangement. However, inversion may be used in specific instances to highlight the connection with a preceding statement or inference. An illustrative example is: *At the point between the sensory receptor and the effector lies a connecting chain of intermediate components*.

6.3. The Official and Administrative Style

The official-administrative style represents a functional variety of language used in institutional and professional communication. Its primary purpose is to ensure clear, unambiguous, and standardized interaction between organizations, government bodies, and different administrative structures. In this sense, the style not only defines the linguistic form of the message but also signals the managerial or legal function that the document fulfills [1, p. 243]. This style is characterized by a highly specialized vocabulary designed to convey administrative and legal nuances with precision. A wide range of fixed expressions and institutional collocations typically appears in such texts, including *to notify hereby*, *to request clarification*, *in compliance with*, *subject to approval*, *to submit a report*, *as stipulated above*, *the undersigned*, *to issue a directive*, *final endorsement*, *interim decision*, *executive order*, *procedural guidelines*, *authorized representative*, *initial briefing*, *regulatory framework*, among others. These lexical units highlight the formal status of the document and reflect the normative and procedural nature of official communication.

Another noteworthy feature of the official-administrative style is the frequent use of abbreviations, shortened institutional terms, and complex acronyms. Since official documentation often operates under constraints of space and time, such condensed forms help present information more efficiently while also contributing to the standardization of administrative communication. Common examples include *govt* (government), *U.N.* (United Nations), *H.M.S.* (His Majesty's Steamship), *D.A.S.* (Department of Agriculture, Scotland), and *pmt* (Parliament), among others. In

business correspondence, formulaic expressions used for address and closing also play an important role. Letters typically open with conventional forms such as *Dear Sir* or, when addressing multiple recipients, *Dear Sirs*. The closing section likewise follows established norms, most frequently employing expressions such as *Yours faithfully*, *Yours sincerely*, or *Yours respectfully* to maintain the formal tone expected in professional communication.

6.4. The Journalistic / Publicistic Style

This perspective underscores that journalistic discourse operates as a hybrid system, where the selection of linguistic devices and lexical choices is explicitly tailored to inform and persuade diverse demographics [12, p. 127]. By synthesizing logical argumentation with emotional coloring, these texts leverage hypero-hyponymic connections and evaluative vocabulary to facilitate specific linguistic acts, such as approval or condemnation [13].

The vocabulary of journalistic discourse stands out both for its thematic range and for the diversity of its expressive means. News reports and analytical articles regularly incorporate the names of institutions and organizations, numerical data, dates, and concrete factual information. Alongside these elements, journalistic writing makes extensive use of borrowed words and ready-made expressions that convey immediacy and evaluative nuance. Typical examples include *current affairs*, *key developments*, *mounting tensions*, *public outcry*, *international community*, *official statement*, *according to analysts*, *sources close to the matter report that...*, *growing concerns*, *political landscape*, *media outlets report that...*, *unprecedented move*, *sharp criticism*, *widespread debate*, *official sources confirm that...*, *public interest*, *breaking developments*, *insider information*, *diplomatic pressure*, among many others. These units form an integral part of the lexical profile of the journalistic style. A key feature of journalistic vocabulary is its strong evaluative and expressive potential [20]. To capture the reader's attention and to underline the significance of an event, journalists frequently rely on phrases such as *pressing issue*, *far-reaching consequences*, *to trigger debate*, or *to pose a serious threat*. These expressions help frame information in a way that highlights urgency or societal relevance. One of the more distinctive aspects of publicistic discourse is its ability to blend lexical items from different stylistic layers. Within a single text, one may encounter formal or bookish vocabulary alongside colloquial expressions and even occasional poetic elements. This stylistic heterogeneity contributes to the dynamic and multi-voiced character of journalistic language. The following sentence illustrates this mixture particularly well: "*Government officials expressed deep concern, while local residents said the situation was simply getting out of hand, describing the night as a storm without warning.*"

The syntactic organization of the journalistic style is marked by several characteristic features. Sentences in this style are often long, multi-clausal, and structurally complex. To facilitate comprehension, texts are typically divided into short paragraphs and are frequently accompanied by subheadings. Headlines constitute one of the most prominent elements of journalistic writing: their primary function is to attract the reader's attention and lead them directly into the news. For this reason, headline vocabulary tends to be extremely concise and impactful. Dynamic, monosyllabic verbs such as *rise*, *fall*, *warn*, *urge*, *boost*, *curb*, *vow*, *deny*, *seek*, *halt*, *unveil*, *spark*, *demand*, *clash*, and *surge* are among the most characteristic components of headline language. Although British and American newspapers differ in certain stylistic conventions, both traditions make extensive use of verb-based headlines. For example: *Storms Batter Northern Coast*, *Prime Minister Steps Down*, *Tech Exports to Asia Increase*. In certain cases, headlines omit the subject entirely. This technique follows the principle of brevity that characterizes newspaper language and simultaneously enhances the sense of immediacy and movement. Examples include: *Calls for Reform Grow*, *Sees No Need for New Restrictions*, *Faces Criticism Over Budget Cuts*. Future events are often expressed through infinitive constructions in journalistic headlines. This structure is valued for its conciseness and its ability to highlight the predictive or forthcoming nature of the information. A typical example is: *European Union To Launch New Trade Policy*.

6.5. The Colloquial Style

The colloquial style represents the primary linguistic form of informal communication and is used in everyday interaction to exchange thoughts, emotions, and information. This variety of language is well suited to spontaneous conversation and provides considerable flexibility for emotional expression. Because colloquial speech typically arises in situations involving close interpersonal contact, one of its central functions is the transmission of emotive responses. This perspective distinguishes the colloquial style by its reliance on non-literary language tools, emotional expressiveness, and a structural design often characterized by weakened syntactic links [25, p. 1310]. Furthermore, scholars often debate whether this variety constitutes an independent stylistic category within the broader national language or merely a functional manifestation of spontaneous, dialogical interaction [26, p. 171]. Current discourse increasingly addresses this ambiguity by examining how colloquial units function as cultural markers that reflect specific worldviews [18, p. 116].

To intensify the emotional tone, speakers frequently rely on a range of emphatic words and constructions. In English, interrogative forms such as *when*, *how*, *where*, *who*, *what*, *which*, and *why* may combine with *ever* or the suffix *-ever*, as well as with emphatic additions like *on earth*, *the devil*, or *the hell*, to convey stronger expressive force. Examples include: *Who could that be now?*, *Why the hell do you ask?*, *What ever are you doing?*, *How on earth did you manage to get inside?*, *Who in the world might that be?*, *Who the devil do you think you are?*

Since the colloquial style is primarily associated with spoken communication, it is characterized by both compression (the reduction and condensation of information) and redundancy (the repetition or addition of extra words). Compression can occur at the phonetic, morphological, and syntactic levels. In English, phonetic compression is particularly common, as shortened auxiliary forms constitute an essential part of everyday speech: *it's*, *it isn't*, *I don't*, *I didn't*, *we'll*, and similar contractions. Lexical compression often appears through the use of single-morpheme words and phrasal verbs such as *pick up*, *turn off*, or *carry on*, which are highly productive in spoken discourse. Abbreviated forms (*promo*, *info*, *fridge*), elliptical structures (e.g., “*Are you coming?*” – “*Coming?*”; “*Good morning?*” – “*Morning!*”), as well as semantically broad items like *thing*, *stuff*, and *bit* are also typical features of conversational English.

Since colloquial speech develops mainly in spontaneous, interactive settings, its syntax is often shaped not by isolated sentences but by larger dialogic units [17, p. 83]. These units consist of successive turns that are structurally and semantically linked to one another. In most cases, they take the form of a question followed by an answer. Such dialogic exchanges encourage the frequent use of one-member sentences and incomplete constructions that are characteristic of spoken language. The examples below illustrate this structure clearly:

Question–answer sequence: “*What time do we leave?*” — “*In about ten minutes, I guess.*” “*Are you coming with us?*” — “*If I can finish this in time.*”

Continuation through picking up the previous remark: “*You said you might call her*” — “— and I will, as soon as I get home.”

Repetition-based exchange: “*He was... well... kind of strange today.*” Mark frowned. — “*Strange? What do you mean, strange?*”

Parallel syntactic pattern: “*Do you trust him now?*” — “*Do you expect me to trust him after all that?*”

7. Discussion

The findings of the present study confirm that functional styles remain a fundamental component of the communicative system of contemporary English. The analysis demonstrates that each style is characterized by a distinct set of lexical, grammatical, and communicative features determined by its specific social function and communicative purpose. At the same time, the results reveal that stylistic boundaries are becoming increasingly flexible under the influence of digital communication, globalization, and the rapid development of information technologies.

The discussion of stylistics as a linguistic discipline highlights its interdisciplinary nature and its close relationship with pragmatics, discourse analysis, lexicology, and grammar. The reviewed theoretical perspectives indicate that modern stylistics has moved beyond the traditional study of literary language and now investigates a broad range of communicative practices. The present findings further demonstrate that functional styles should not be viewed as isolated categories but rather as dynamic systems that interact within real communicative environments.

The analysis of the scientific style confirms its continued reliance on objectivity, logical organization, terminological precision, and informational density. However, contemporary academic discourse shows a tendency toward greater accessibility and reader engagement. The increasing use of explicit authorial presence and the gradual reduction of excessive passive constructions indicate that scientific communication is adapting to modern expectations of clarity and transparency. These tendencies reflect broader changes in academic communication, where the effectiveness of knowledge dissemination has become as important as formal precision.

The examination of the official-administrative style demonstrates that standardization remains its dominant characteristic. The use of formulaic expressions, institutional terminology, and fixed document structures continues to guarantee legal accuracy and administrative efficiency. Nevertheless, contemporary administrative communication increasingly incorporates digital formats, electronic correspondence, and online documentation systems, which require a balance between traditional formality and communicative efficiency. As a result, certain simplifications in structure and vocabulary can be observed without compromising the fundamental principles of official communication.

The journalistic style presents perhaps the clearest example of stylistic hybridity. The findings indicate that modern media discourse combines informational, persuasive, and entertaining functions within a single communicative framework. Journalistic texts frequently integrate elements traditionally associated with colloquial speech, publicistic rhetoric, and even literary expression. This convergence appears particularly evident in digital news platforms and social media environments, where competition for audience attention encourages the use of emotionally charged language, concise structures, and interactive communicative strategies. Consequently, journalistic discourse serves as a bridge between formal and informal modes of communication.

The colloquial style remains the most dynamic and adaptive functional variety of English. Its flexibility, emotional expressiveness, and reliance on spontaneous interaction make it especially compatible with digital communication platforms. Features such as contractions, abbreviations, ellipsis, and simplified syntactic structures have become increasingly common not only in everyday conversation but also in online discourse. The widespread use of social media, instant messaging, and virtual communication has strengthened the influence of colloquial language on other functional styles, contributing to the gradual erosion of certain traditional stylistic boundaries.

One of the most significant findings of the study concerns the adaptation of functional styles within digital discourse. Digital communication creates an environment in which stylistic conventions frequently overlap. Scientific publications increasingly incorporate promotional elements; journalistic texts adopt conversational techniques; official institutions use more accessible language in public communication; and colloquial forms appear in professional and academic interactions. This tendency does not eliminate the distinctive characteristics of individual styles but rather encourages the emergence of hybrid communicative forms that combine features of multiple stylistic traditions.

Overall, the results suggest that the system of functional styles in contemporary English continues to preserve its traditional structure while simultaneously adapting to new communicative realities. The stability of core stylistic features coexists with ongoing processes of stylistic convergence and innovation. Therefore, the study of functional styles remains highly relevant for understanding not only the linguistic organization of English but also the broader social and technological factors that shape modern communication.

8. Conclusion

The analysis conducted showed that there are certain differences between the approaches of various linguists and the characteristics of functional styles in English. It is also noteworthy that some styles tend to be more sharply distinct from others. At the same time, in certain cases, we see that the boundaries between styles partially soften. It should be noted that such convergence between styles is not on the scale observed in Russian, and in English, stylistic boundaries are generally maintained more stably.

References:

- 1) Butler, P., Duncan, M., Vanguri, S. M., Kurlinkus, W. C., Stodola, D., Pace, T., Carroll, J. J., Lancaster, Z., & Buehl, J. (2013). *The Centrality of Style*. In The WAC Clearinghouse; Parlor Press eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.37514/per-b.2013.0476>
- 2) Habibova, K. (2025). Machine Metaphorics in Techno-Modern Texts. *Terra Linguistica*. 62(4), 128-140.
- 3) Halliday, M.A.K., Matthiessen, C.M. (2014). *Halliday's introduction to functional grammar* (4th ed.). / London: Routledge.
- 4) Semino, E. (2023). *Stylistics* (pp. 270–281). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003082644-23>
- 5) Aliyeva, M. M. (2024). Stylistics: Its Origin and the Formation of Its Unique Theoretical Views. *Frontline Social Sciences and History Journal*. 4(5), 60–68. <https://doi.org/10.37547/social-fsshj-04-05-08>
- 6) Tukhtamurodova, D. D. (2025). The Importance and Relevance of Stylistics in Linguistics. *International Journal of Science and Technology*. 2(5), 83-84. <https://doi.org/10.70728/tech.v2.i05.028>
- 7) Ahmad, H., & Ghafar, N. A. (2025). Stylistics in Transition: From Classical Rhetoric to Critical Digital Semiotics. *Quantum Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*. 6(4), 571-584. <https://doi.org/10.55197/qjssh.v6i4.796>
- 8) Habibova, K. (2023). Virtual discourse as a communicative requirement of the modern era. *Ad Alta. Journal of Interdisciplinary research*. 13(1), 173-176.
- 9) G'ofurova, S. M., & Kamalxo'jayeva, N. (2025). Types of functional styles in English. *Journal of Universal Science Research*. 3 (2), 241-245.
- 10) Doerr, R. B. (2023). Writing with "Academic Style": Theoretical considerations and preliminary findings on the new frontiers of EAP. *EuroAmerican Journal of Applied Linguistics and Languages*. 10(2), 78-94. <https://doi.org/10.21283/2376905x.1.10.2.2757>
- 11) Karimova, F. (2021). Lingua-Semantic Issues of Economic Texts. *Path of Science*. 7(2), 2001–2007. <https://doi.org/10.22178/pos.67-4>
- 12) Horvath, M. (2021). Historical forms of the journalistic communication style in Slovakia. *Media Linguistics*. 8(1), 122–132. <https://doi.org/10.21638/spbu22.2021.202>
- 13) Kots, T. (2023). Emotional mechanisms of modern publicistic texts. *Linguistics*. 98-106. <https://doi.org/10.12958/2227-2631-2023-2-48-98-106>
- 14) Giovanelli, M., & Harrison, C. (2022). Stylistics and Contemporary Fiction. *English Studies*. 103(3), 381–385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0013838x.2022.2043035>
- 15) Civelek, D. (2022). The Detailed Analysis of "the Black Cat" by Edgar Allan Poe in Stylistic Perspective. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Dergisi*. 39(1), 99-112. <https://doi.org/10.32600/huefd.698487>
- 16) Warner, C. (2023). Literary pragmatics and stylistics. In *The Routledge Handbook of Stylistics*. Routledge. 385-403. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367568887-26>
- 17) Li, M. (2023). Colloquialism as a phenomenon in modern women's prose (based on V. Tokareva's novella "Nichevo osobenno" ("Nothing Special")). *Philology and Culture*. 2, 83-90. <https://doi.org/10.26907/2782-4756-2023-72-2-83-90>

- 18) Tsurkan, M. (2017). Commonly Used and Territorially Marked Vocabulary as a Means of Stylising Colloquiality in Myroslav Lazaruk's Novel Inauguration. *Advanced Education*. 4(8), 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.20535/2410-8286.115448>
- 19) Mammadli, N., & Habibova, K. (2025). The Interplay Between Academic Communication and Speech Manipulation: A Psychometric Analysis. *TPM–Testing, Psychometrics, Methodology in Applied Psychology*, 32(S1 (2025): Posted 12 May), 29-37.
- 20) Həbibova, K. (2025). Reklam mətnlərində manipulyativ nitq elementləri (Sözlər bizim seçimimizə necə təsir edir). *Gənc tədqiqatçıların VIII respublika elmi konfransının materialları*, 150-152. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18163660>
- 21) McIntyre, D., & Price, H. (2018). Stylistics. In *Routledge eBooks* (pp. 327-344). Informa. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351001724-23>
- 22) Muhammed, W. S. M., Hasson, F. K., & Thalab, A. H. (2022). Iraqi Parents' Instructions to Their Teens: A Pragma-Stylistic Approach. *Arab World English Journal*. 1(1), 75-88. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/kust.6>
- 23) Satti, S. M. J., Mahmood, S., & Saba, T. (2023). Commodification of Higher Education: Investigating the Application of Pragma Stylistic Framework on the Discourses of Pakistani University Prospectuses. *Journal of South Asian Studies*. 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.33687/jsas.011.03.5074>
- 24) Felecan, D., & Felecan, D. (2024). Functional Styles and Language Functions. *Philologica Jassyensia*. 40(2), 203-224. <https://doi.org/10.60133/pj.2024.2.16>
- 25) Khasanova, O., Kajumova, D. F., Demirag, D. N., Karimova, A., Shafigullina, L. S., Khairullina, N. R., & Tuktarova, G. M. (2023). Paremiological Units with a Component of Mnodality Related to the Abstract Concepts in English, Tatar and Spanish Languages. *Cadernos de Educação Tecnologia e Sociedade*. 16(4), 1307-1316. <https://doi.org/10.14571/brajets.v16.n4.1307-1316>
- 26) Kenzhigozhina, K., Nurmuhametova, K., Berkutbayeva, M., Meiramova, A., & Kapesova, T. (2020). Phonetic features of spoken English and Kazakh languages (theoretical and experimental research). *XLinguae*. 13(2), 166-175. <https://doi.org/10.18355/xl.2020.13.02.14>