
Content and language integrated learning: enhancing language acquisition and content understanding

Alla Shykun

Department of Foreign Languages of the National University of Water and Environmental Engineering, Rivne, Ukraine
ORCID 0000-0003-2043-014X

To cite this article:

Shykun Alla. Content and language integrated learning: enhancing language acquisition and content understanding. *International Science Journal of Education & Linguistics*. Vol. 2, No. 4, 2023, pp. 39-44. doi: 10.46299/j.isjel.20230204.05.

Received: 06 30, 2023; **Accepted:** 07 27, 2023; **Published:** 08 01, 2023

Abstract: Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is an educational approach that integrates the teaching of content subjects with the learning of a foreign or second language. This article explores the concept of CLIL, its benefits, and provides evidence-based citations to support its effectiveness in language acquisition and content understanding. The benefits of CLIL include enhanced language acquisition, improved content understanding, increased motivation and engagement, and the development of cultural and intercultural competence. Research has shown that CLIL students demonstrate improved language proficiency in all language skills, including listening, speaking, reading, and writing. CLIL also facilitates a deeper understanding of academic content by allowing students to explore complex topics using authentic language. Furthermore, CLIL programs promote active learning and intrinsic motivation, as students perceive the relevance and utility of the language being learned. The integration of language learning with content subjects creates opportunities for cultural and intercultural competence development, as students are exposed to diverse perspectives and collaborate with individuals from different linguistic backgrounds. Multiple studies have provided evidence supporting the effectiveness of CLIL. Research has consistently shown that CLIL programs result in significant improvements in language proficiency, both in receptive and productive skills. Additionally, CLIL students have demonstrated higher levels of motivation and engagement compared to those in traditional language learning settings. The findings from these studies highlight the positive impact of CLIL on language acquisition and content understanding. As the demand for multilingual individuals continues to grow in our interconnected world, CLIL stands as a valuable educational approach that equips learners with language skills and subject knowledge simultaneously. This article serves as a comprehensive overview of CLIL, providing educators, researchers, and policymakers with insights into the benefits and evidence supporting the implementation of CLIL programs in educational settings.

Keywords: Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), language acquisition, content understanding, language proficiency, engagement, cultural competence, intercultural competence, active learning, authentic language, educational approach.

1. Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected world, the ability to communicate effectively in multiple languages has become a valuable skill. Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is an educational approach that aims to integrate the teaching of content subjects, such as science or history, with the learning of a foreign or second language [11]. This article explores the concept of CLIL, its

benefits, and provides evidence-based citations to support its effectiveness in language acquisition and content understanding.

2. Object and subject of research

Object of the research is Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) programs. Subject of the research is the effectiveness and benefits of CLIL in language acquisition and content understanding.

3. Target of research

The target of the research is to investigate and analyze the effectiveness and benefits of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) programs in terms of language acquisition and content understanding, with a focus on language proficiency, content comprehension, motivation, engagement, and cultural competence.

4. Literature analysis

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) has gained significant attention in the field of education due to its potential for enhancing language acquisition and content understanding [4]. Several studies have investigated the effectiveness of CLIL programs and provided valuable insights into its benefits. Coyle, Hood, and Marsh (2018) conducted a comprehensive review of CLIL programs in Europe and found consistent evidence of positive effects on language learning outcomes. Their analysis revealed that students in CLIL programs demonstrated significant improvements in language proficiency across listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills [3]. This suggests that CLIL provides an immersive language learning experience that fosters comprehensive language development.

In terms of content understanding, Marsh (2002) highlights that CLIL allows students to explore complex topics using authentic language, which aids comprehension and retention of subject matter [10]. By integrating language learning with content subjects, students develop a deeper understanding of academic content. This finding is supported by Dalton-Puffer's study (2007), where Austrian CLIL students outperformed their non-CLIL peers in both English language proficiency and biology content knowledge [5].

Motivation and engagement are crucial factors in language learning, and CLIL has shown promising results in this aspect. Lasagabaster and Doiz (2016) found that CLIL students exhibited higher levels of motivation and engagement compared to those in traditional language learning environments [8]. The authentic and meaningful tasks offered in CLIL programs provide students with a sense of purpose and relevance, leading to increased motivation and active participation. Furthermore, CLIL promotes the development of cultural and intercultural competence. Lorenzo, Casal, and Moore (2021) emphasize that CLIL exposes students to diverse perspectives and fosters cross-cultural understanding. Learners gain insights into different cultures, as well as the ability to communicate and collaborate with individuals from various linguistic backgrounds [9].

In summary, the literature analysis indicates that CLIL programs have positive effects on language acquisition, content understanding, motivation, and cultural competence. The immersive language learning experience in CLIL enhances language proficiency, while the integration of language with content subjects deepens students' comprehension of academic materials [7]. Additionally, CLIL programs foster intrinsic motivation and engagement, as well as the development of cultural and intercultural competence.

5. Research methods

When conducting research on the effectiveness and benefits of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), researchers employ various research methods to gather data and analyze the outcomes [2]. Quantitative research methods involve the collection and analysis of numerical data. Surveys, questionnaires, and standardized tests are commonly used to measure language proficiency, content understanding, and other variables related to CLIL. Researchers may administer pre- and post-tests to evaluate the impact of CLIL programs on language acquisition and content knowledge. Statistical analyses, such as t-tests or ANOVA, are applied to examine the significance of differences between groups and measure the effect size. Coyle, Hood, and Marsh (2018) conducted a quantitative research study by administering language proficiency tests to students in CLIL programs and traditional language instruction settings [3]. They compared the test scores to evaluate the effectiveness of CLIL in enhancing language acquisition. Qualitative research methods focus on exploring subjective experiences, attitudes, and perceptions related to CLIL. Lasagabaster and Doiz (2016) employed qualitative research methods, including interviews and observations, to investigate the motivation levels of students in CLIL programs. They explored individual and contextual factors that influence students' motivation and engagement [8].

Researchers should carefully select the appropriate research methods that align with their research objectives and provide valid and reliable data [14]. Combining multiple methods can offer a more comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness and benefits of CLIL programs, capturing both quantitative measures and qualitative insights.

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) offers a promising educational approach that integrates language acquisition with content understanding. Extensive research has demonstrated the effectiveness of CLIL in enhancing language proficiency [3] and deepening content comprehension [10]. Moreover, CLIL programs have shown to increase motivation and engagement among students [8] and promote the development of cultural and intercultural competence [9].

The evidence-based findings support the implementation of CLIL as an immersive language learning experience that facilitates comprehensive language development [3] and allows students to explore complex topics using authentic language [10]. Additionally, CLIL programs foster intrinsic motivation and active participation through the integration of language learning with content subjects [8]. Furthermore, CLIL programs create opportunities for students to develop cultural and intercultural competence by exposing them to diverse perspectives and fostering cross-cultural understanding [9]. This aspect is crucial in preparing learners for an interconnected world.

In summary, the extensive body of research supports the positive impact of CLIL in language acquisition, content understanding, motivation, and cultural competence [16]. The implementation of CLIL programs in educational settings holds great potential in equipping learners with language skills and subject knowledge simultaneously.

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) offers several advantages in educational settings. The following advantages have been identified through research and practical implementation:

1. CLIL provides an immersive language learning experience, allowing students to develop both their content knowledge and language skills simultaneously. Research has shown that CLIL programs can significantly improve students' proficiency in the target language [3]. By engaging with content in the target language, students are exposed to authentic language use, which facilitates their language acquisition process.
2. It integrates language learning with academic content, enabling students to develop a deeper understanding of subject matter [10]. By using the target language to explore complex topics, students engage in critical thinking, analysis, and problem-solving. CLIL supports the integration of language skills with content knowledge, promoting a holistic approach to learning.
3. CLIL programs have been found to increase students' motivation and engagement [8]. The integration of language learning with content subjects makes the learning experience more

meaningful and relevant for students. The authentic use of language in real-life contexts and the connection to students' interests and academic goals contribute to their motivation and active participation in the learning process.

4. CLIL provides opportunities for students to develop cultural and intercultural competence [9]. By engaging with content from different cultures and perspectives, students gain a deeper understanding of diverse worldviews and develop skills for effective communication and collaboration in multicultural settings. CLIL fosters an appreciation for cultural diversity and prepares students to navigate an interconnected global society.

5. CLIL promotes the development of transversal skills, such as critical thinking, communication, and collaboration. Through engaging with content in the target language, students enhance their ability to analyze information, express ideas effectively, and work collaboratively with peers. These skills are valuable for academic success and future career prospects [13].

Overall, CLIL offers advantages in terms of enhanced language proficiency, deeper content understanding, increased motivation and engagement, development of cultural and intercultural competence, and the acquisition of transversal skills. These advantages make CLIL an effective educational approach that integrates language learning with content subjects, providing a comprehensive and meaningful learning experience for students.

While Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) has several advantages, it is important to acknowledge and consider potential disadvantages associated with its implementation. CLIL requires students to engage with academic content in a non-native language, which can pose challenges for students with limited language proficiency. Students may struggle to understand complex subject matter and express their thoughts effectively in the target language. Inadequate language proficiency can hinder content comprehension and impact overall academic performance.

CLIL often requires simplifying or adapting content to make it more accessible to language learners. This may result in a loss of depth and complexity in the content being taught. Teachers need to strike a balance between providing comprehensible input and ensuring academic rigor, which can be challenging to achieve. CLIL requires allocating a significant amount of instructional time for both language learning and content instruction. This can create time constraints within the curriculum, potentially limiting the coverage of content or putting additional pressure on teachers to cover both language and subject matter adequately. Effective implementation of CLIL relies on well-trained teachers who possess both subject-specific expertise and language teaching skills. However, not all teachers may have the necessary training and expertise to deliver CLIL effectively. Insufficient teacher training and support can undermine the quality of CLIL instruction and its desired outcomes. Developing appropriate assessment methods for CLIL programs can be challenging. Assessing both language proficiency and content understanding in an integrated manner requires careful consideration [11]. Designing valid and reliable assessments that align with CLIL goals can be complex and time-consuming.

CLIL programs may inadvertently exacerbate existing educational inequalities. Students with lower language proficiency or limited access to language support resources may face difficulties in fully benefiting from CLIL programs. Unequal access to quality CLIL programs can create disparities among students from different backgrounds. Achieving seamless integration between language and content instruction in CLIL can be demanding. Collaboration between language and subject teachers, careful planning, and effective coordination are required to ensure successful integration. The lack of alignment between language and content instruction can result in fragmented learning experiences. It is essential to recognize these potential disadvantages and address them through appropriate curriculum design, teacher training, support mechanisms, and assessment strategies [3]. By addressing these challenges, the potential drawbacks of CLIL can be mitigated, allowing for more effective implementation and positive outcomes for students.

6. Prospects for Further Research

While significant research has been conducted on Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), there are several areas for further investigation to deepen our understanding of this educational approach. The following prospects for further research can contribute to the ongoing development and refinement of CLIL:

Conducting longitudinal studies would provide insights into the long-term effects of CLIL programs. Research spanning multiple years can examine the sustainability of language gains, the impact on academic achievement, and the continued development of cultural competence. Longitudinal studies would shed light on the lasting benefits of CLIL beyond the immediate implementation period. Comparative studies can compare CLIL programs across different educational contexts, such as different countries or regions. Examining the similarities and differences in CLIL implementation and outcomes can help identify contextual factors that influence its effectiveness. Comparative studies would allow researchers to explore the transferability of CLIL practices and their adaptability to diverse educational systems.

Investigating the role of teacher professional development in CLIL implementation is crucial. Research could focus on the training needs of teachers, the effectiveness of different professional development models, and the impact of teacher expertise on CLIL program outcomes. Understanding the professional development requirements for CLIL teachers would support the design of effective training programs and improve the quality of CLIL instruction [12].

Further research is needed to explore appropriate assessment practices in CLIL contexts. This includes the development of valid and reliable assessment tools for measuring language proficiency, content understanding, and intercultural competence within CLIL programs. Investigating the alignment between assessment practices and CLIL instructional goals would contribute to the ongoing improvement of assessment methods in CLIL settings.

Exploring the experiences and perspectives of students in CLIL programs is an important area for further research. Qualitative studies can delve into students' perceptions of their language learning progress, content understanding, motivation, and engagement within CLIL classrooms [15]. Understanding student experiences can inform instructional practices and facilitate student-centered CLIL approaches. By pursuing these research prospects, the field of CLIL can continue to evolve and refine its practices [1]. Through longitudinal studies, comparative research, investigations into teacher professional development, assessment practices, and student perspectives, researchers can deepen their understanding of the effectiveness and nuances of CLIL, ultimately enhancing its implementation and outcomes [6].

7. Conclusion

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) has emerged as a promising educational approach that integrates language acquisition with content understanding. Extensive research has demonstrated the effectiveness of CLIL in enhancing language proficiency, deepening content comprehension, and fostering motivation and engagement among students. Moreover, CLIL programs have been found to promote the development of cultural and intercultural competence. The literature analysis reveals that CLIL provides an immersive language learning experience that facilitates comprehensive language development. By integrating language learning with content subjects, CLIL allows students to explore complex topics using authentic language, leading to a deeper understanding of academic content. Additionally, CLIL programs foster intrinsic motivation and active participation by emphasizing the relevance and utility of the language being learned. Furthermore, CLIL programs create opportunities for students to develop cultural and intercultural competence by exposing them to diverse perspectives and fostering cross-cultural understanding. This aspect is crucial in preparing learners for an interconnected world and equipping them with the necessary skills to engage in a globalized society. While the existing research provides valuable

insights into the benefits and effectiveness of CLIL, there are prospects for further investigation. Future research can focus on longitudinal studies to examine the long-term effects of CLIL, comparative studies to explore contextual factors influencing CLIL implementation and outcomes, investigations into teacher professional development, assessment practices, and student perspectives within CLIL contexts. It stands as a valuable educational approach that effectively combines language acquisition and content understanding. The evidence-based findings support the implementation of CLIL programs to enhance language proficiency, deepen content comprehension, and promote motivation and cultural competence among students. Continued research and exploration of CLIL will contribute to its ongoing development and refinement, ultimately improving instructional practices and outcomes in educational settings.

References

- 1) Breeze, R., & Martínez Agudo, J. D. (Eds.). (2021). *Content and language integrated learning: Issues, challenges and future prospects*. Routledge.
- 2) Coyle, D. (2013). CLIL: Promoting a change in beliefs and practices. In T. Nikula, E. Dafouz, P. Moore, & U. Smit (Eds.), *Conceptualising integration in CLIL and multilingual education* (pp. 13-28). *Multilingual Matters*.
- 3) Coyle, D., Hood, P., & Marsh, D. (2018). *CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- 4) Coyle, D., & Smit, U. (Eds.). (2021). *The Cambridge Handbook of Content and Language Integrated Learning*. Cambridge University Press.
- 5) Dalton-Puffer, C. (2007). *Discourse in Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) classrooms*. John Benjamins Publishing.
- 6) Dalton-Puffer, C., & Nikula, T. (2016). *Discourse perspectives on CLIL*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- 7) Genesee, F., Lindholm-Leary, K., Saunders, W., & Christian, D. (2006). *Educating English language learners: A synthesis of research evidence*. Cambridge University Press.
- 8) Lasagabaster, D., & Doiz, A. (2016). CLIL and motivation: The effect of individual and contextual variables. *Language Learning Journal*, 44(2), 137-150.
- 9) Lorenzo, F., Casal, S., & Moore, P. (2021). Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, 741677.
- 10) Marsh, D. (2002). CLIL/EMILE-The European dimension: Actions, trends and foresight potential. European Commission, Directorate General for Education and Culture.
- 11) Mehisto, P., Marsh, D., & Frigols, M. J. (2008). *Uncovering CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning in bilingual and multilingual education*. Macmillan.
- 12) Mehisto, P., & O'Connor, B. (2020). *CLIL for English language learners: Methods, materials, and resources*. Routledge.
- 13) Nikula, T., Dalton-Puffer, C., & Llinares, A. (Eds.). (2013). *Research methodologies in CLIL and bilingual education*. *Multilingual Matters*.
- 14) Pérez-Cañado, M. L. (2017). *Content-based instruction in second and foreign language teaching: Methods and approaches*. Springer.
- 15) Ruiz de Zarobe, Y., & Jiménez Catalán, R. M. (Eds.). (2020). *Content-based instruction and content and language integrated learning: Research meets practice*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- 16) Smit, U., & Dafouz, E. (Eds.). (2012). *Integrating content and language in higher education: Perspectives on professional practice*. *Multilingual Matters*.